

## OPINION SUMMARY

### MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT

NATHANIEL A. ROBINSON, Appellant,	)	No. ED101830
	)	Appeal from the Circuit Court of
vs.	)	the City of St. Louis
	)	Honorable Philip Heagney
STATE OF MISSOURI, Respondent.	)	Filed: September 15, 2015

Nathaniel Robinson (Movant) appeals the judgment of the Circuit Court of the City of St. Louis denying his Rule 29.15 motion for relief from convictions for involuntary manslaughter and abuse of a child resulting in death. Movant asserts that the motion court erred in denying his claim that trial counsel was ineffective in: (1) adducing prejudicial testimony on cross-examination; and (2) failing to call a witness.

AFFIRMED.

Division Four Holds: The motion court did not clearly err in denying Movant's claim that counsel was ineffective in eliciting prejudicial testimony on cross-examination because trial counsel's questions advanced a reasonable trial strategy. Nor did the motion court clearly err in denying Movant's claim that trial counsel was ineffective in failing to call a particular expert witness because that expert's opinion did not unequivocally support Movant's defense.

Opinion by: Patricia L. Cohen, J.  
Sherri B. Sullivan, P.J., and Kurt S. Odenwald, J., concur.

Attorney for Appellant: Maleaner R. Harvey  
Attorney for Respondent: Daniel N. McPherson

<p><b>THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT. IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.</b></p>
---